

## Theory of Relation-Balancing -Historical Interpretation of Mediterranean Area-

History is no different with time. It is more significant as historical research comes from human recognition of time flow. Clear standard to distinguish certain period, time as cognitive concept, and civilizational chronosystem lead to various kind of understanding toward history. Human history have been recorded in periodical space that has activated with different cycle. Time might have existed in our relative cognition, but it has played a major role, leading an establishment and transformation of relations. We also have commonly understood the means and ends of time through change in relationship of group members, or that in nature, which resulted considering time as living thing.

History is also a continuity of unstable situations, pursuing 'the ultimate balance'. As spacial change is inevitable with time flows, nature has replaced coexisting balancing with their new status of balance through balance-orienting tendency. It seems clear enough for us to call it is feature of time that has shown through recognition of history. Human life are no different, as we keep find new balance through the act of change, like any other organisms living current space.

Historical interpretation reaches different conclusion depending time recognition, while history is rather trace that has carved various layers of time. The main reason lies in subjective recognition of time depending on independence of interpretation. History keeps itself from the fact it has recorded once it has interpreted. These complicated concept can be summarized one sentence that : history and its interpretation are different, and it is inevitable for subjective and personal standard to intervene during the process of interpretation. Such above, it is clear that discordance between history and interpretation comes from subjectiveness of independence, but we have to be clear in the point that more fundamental reason of such feature is rooted in time-recognition. Every civilization has their own time-recognition, which was crucial to form their identity.

Recognition toward time in field of history research can be distinguished into two, which are 'straight time-recognition' and 'circular time-recognition'. It should be clarified that they are not prove for actual time-flow but rather human subjectivity to space that are established from time. And these fact makes natural for us to not knowing specific direction that times flows toward, even though they do not exist as totally separate being. Time flows leaving circular trace in macro-perspective, but seems straight when it comes to micro-perspective. In other words, distinguish of forms that time flows - either straight or circular' is only difference between two perspectives to examine time, and rather, lies on complementary relationship. (See figure 1.)

Nothing is impossible in history. History is composed of majority of organic relations but still has feature of simultaneity at the same time. Such elements let the history has its significance of unpredictability and irregularity. We only can clearly see, however, that certain aspects history has emphasized depending on time recognition and interpretation.

It seems rationale that history research sets its ultimate purpose and suggests direction for it. We could see historical interpretation with certain logic can be improved in perspective of periodical validity. Properness between history-interpretation method and directivity of contemporary civilization in terms of coexisting-paradigm would be the case in detail. It is cautious question adapting historical interpretation into current atmosphere, where western-centrism has occupied dominant status since its formation in 18th century. And it is especially true since global society with multi-racial, multi-cultural, and multi-religious civilization lies on foundation of geographically and culturally coexisting civilization. This paper, and author, takes skeptical perspective to regard western-centrism as proper interpretation-method in current period, when international civilizations form singular paradigm of coexistence. It would be more frankly to say, that western-centrism is especially incomplete by itself with their attachment to straight-time recognition considering its relationship between time recognition and historical interpretation. Such is common limit of micro-perspective history research, which can be supplemented with consideration of micro-perspective of civilizational exchange and historical interpretation within it. This presentation aims to examine two representative time-recognition methods: 1)Straight-recognition 2)Circular-recognition, and their role as complementary factors in theory of relationship-balance.